

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. F. Cunningham, Kenneth Leveno, Steven Bloom, John Hauth, Dwight Rouse CS. *Williams Obstetrics: 23rd Edition McGraw-Hill's AccessMedicine*. 23rd ed. McGraw Hill Professional; 2009.
2. WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group the and United Nations Populations Division. Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2015. *Organization*. 2015;32(5):1-55.
3. World Health Organization (WHO). Making Pregnancy Safer. 2015:1-6.
4. Direktorat Bina Kesehatan Ibu Ditjen Bina Gizi dan Kesehatan Ibu dan Anak Kementrian Kesehatan RI. Upaya Percepatan Penurunan Angka Kematian Ibu. 2013:1-4.
5. Anggorowati D, Hadisaputra H. Kejadian Preeklampsia / Eklampsia di RSDK tahun 1997-1999. In: *Kumpulan Makalah / Kuliah Utama*. Denpasar Bali: KOGI X POGI Cabang Semarang; 2000.
6. Sibai B, Dekker G, Kupferminc M. Pre-eclampsia. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9461):785-799.
7. Dina S. Luaran Ibu dan Bayi Pada Penderita Preeklampsia Berat dan Eklampsia Dengan atau Tanpa Sindroma Hellp. 2008:10-40.
8. Padden MO. HELLP syndrome: recognition and perinatal management. *Am Fam Physician*. 1999;60(3):829-836, 839.
9. Raras AA. Pengaruh Preeklampsia Berat pada Kehamilan Terhadap Keluaran Maternal dan Perinatal di Rs Dr Kariadi Tahun 2010. 2011.
10. Prawirohardjo S, Wiknjosastro H. *Ilmu Kebidanan*. Jakarta: PT Bina Pustaka Sarwono Prawirohardjo; 2014.
11. Wibowo N, Irwinda R, Frisdiantiny E. *Pedoman Nasional Pelayanan Kedokteran Diagnosis Dan Tata Laksana Pre-Eklampsia*. Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia; 2011.
12. Kementerian Kesehatan RI. *Buku Saku Pelayanan Kesehatan Ibu Di Fasilitas Kesehatan Dasar Dan Rujukan Pedoman Bagi Tenaga Kesehatan*. Jakarta: Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia; 2013.




13. James PR. Management of Hypertension Before, During, and After Pregnancy. *Heart*. 2004;90(12):1499-1504.
14. Leksana E. Manajemen Anestetik Sindrom Antifosfolipid dengan Komplikasi Sindrom HELLP. 2013;40(7):497-499.
15. Lim K-H. Preeclampsia. <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1476919-overview#a2>. Accessed December 31, 2015.
16. Myrtha R. Penatalaksanaan Tekanan Darah pada Preeklampsia. 2015;42(4):262-266.
17. Roeshadi RH. Upaya Menurunkan Angka Kesakitan dan Angka Kematian Ibu pada Penderita Preeklampsia dan Eklampsia. *USU Repos*. 2006:1-33.
18. Manuaba IBG, Manuaba IAC, Manuaba IBGF. *Pengantar Kuliah Bstertetri*. (Astuti NZ, Purba DL, Handayani S, Damayanti R, eds.). Jakarta: EGC; 2007.
19. Akip SD. Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal Pada Ibu Hamil dengan Preeklampsia Berat (Analisis Perbedaan Faktor Risiko dengan dan Tanpa Riwayat Preeklampsia). November 2015.
20. Chrisna E. Sindroma HELLP. [https://www.academia.edu/7823643/HELLP\\_BAB\\_1](https://www.academia.edu/7823643/HELLP_BAB_1). Accessed December 31, 2015.
21. Indrayani N. Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Berhubungan dengan Preeklampsia/Eklampsia pada Ibu Bersalin di Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Kardinah Kota Tegal tahun 2011. *Univ Indones*. 2012;10-11.
22. Roberts JM, Druzin M, August P a, et al. *ACOG Guidelines: Hypertension in Pregnancy*. Washington; 2013.
23. POGI. Penatalaksanaan Hipertensi dalam Kehamilan. <https://id.scribd.com/doc/182591019/PEB-POGI-pdf>. Accessed December 31, 2015.
24. Wiyati PS, Wibowo B. Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal pada Hamil dengan Penyakit Jantung di RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang. *Subbagian Fetomaternal, Dep Obstet dan Gin Ekol Fak Kedokt Univ Diponegoro RSUP dr Kariadi Semarang*. 2013.

25. Arute JE, Oteri WM. Preclinical & Pharmaceutical Research Prescription Pattern and Adherence to Antihypertensives Among Pregnant Women In Central Hospital Warri , Delta State , Nigeria . 2015;6(3):110-117.
26. Wulan SK. Karakteristik Penderita Preeklampsia dan Eklampsia di RSUP Haji Adam Malik Medan Tahun 2009 – 2011. March 2013.
27. Dellinger R, Levy M, Rhodes A. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. *Intensive care*. 2013;41(2):580-637.
28. Prayogo BW, Prasetyo B, Dachlan EG, Nasronudin. Hubungan antara Faktor Risiko Sepsis Obstetri dengan Kejadian Sepsis Berat dan Syok Sepsis di Departemen Obstetri dan Ginekologi RSUD Dr. Soetomo Surabaya. 2011;19(3).
29. Kelsey BJJ, Pharm D, Floyd RA, Chant C. Obstetric Emergencies in the ICU. *Blood Press*. 2005.
30. Vergani P, Ornaghi S, Pozzi I, et al. Placenta Previa: Distance to Internal Os and Mode of Delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;201(3):266.e1-e5.
31. von Schmidt auf Altenstadt JF, Hukkelhoven CWPM, van Roosmalen J, Bloemenkamp KWM. Pre-eclampsia Increases the Risk of Postpartum Haemorrhage: A Nationwide Cohort Study in the Netherlands. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12).
32. Ranuh IG. Masalah Kesehatan Anak.Tumbuh Kembang Anak dan Remaja. *IDAI*. 2005.
33. WHO. Dibalik Angka. Pengkajian Kematian Maternal dan komplikasi untuk mendapatkan kehamilan yang lebih aman. 2001.
34. Glanville T, Walker J. HELLP syndrome. *Obstet Gynaecol*. 2003;5(3):149-154.
35. Sungkar A. The Etiology and Prevention Strategy of Small for Gestational Age from Obstetrician View. :2-6.
36. Aprilia N, Ramadhan N. Hubungan Preeklampsia Dan Persalinan Macet Dengan Kejadian Asfiksia Pada Bayi Baru Lahir Di Badan Layanan Umum Daerah Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Dr. Zainoel Abidin Banda Aceh Tahun


2012. 2012;0078.

37. Rahardjani KB, Kosim MS, Sarosa GI, Rini AE, Radityo AN. Asfiksia pada Bayi Baru Lahir. In: *Buku Ajar Ilmu Kesehatan Anak*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro; 2011:13-18.
38. Bawono SB. Gambaran Analisis Gas Darah Arteri Umbilikalis Neonatus Pada Preeklampsia Berat. June 2005.
39. Arfin S. Perbandingan Indeks Pulsasi Arteri Umbilikalis Janin pada Ibu Hamil Normal dan Preeklampsi. 2002.
40. Sastroasmoro S, Ismael S. *Dasar-Dasar Metodologi Penelitian Klinis*. 5th ed. Jakarta: Sagung Seto; 2014.
41. Visser W, Wallenburg HC. Temporising management of severe pre-eclampsia with and without the HELLP syndrome. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1995;102(2):111-117. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7756201>. Accessed June 7, 2016.
42. Sibai BM. The HELLP syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets): much ado about nothing? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1990;162(2):311-316. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2309811>. Accessed June 11, 2016.
43. H Morikawa, H Umikage, M Yamakasi. Clinical Difference Between HELLP Syndrome and Partial HELLP Syndrome. In: *AUFOG Accredited Ultrasound and Workshop*. Bandung; 2001.
44. Rambulangi J. Sindrom HELLP. *Bagian Obstet dan Ginekologi Fakultas Kedokteran Univ Hasanuddin Makassar Sulawesi Selatan Indones*. 2006:24-28.
45. Sofoewan S. Pregnancy Outcome of Women with Severe Preeclampsia With and Without HELLP Syndrome. In: *AUFOG Accredited Ultrasound and Workshop*. Bandung; 2001.
46. Barton JR, Riely CA AT. Hepatic Histopathologic in Condition does not Correlate with Laboratory Abnormalities in HELLP Syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low thrombocyte counts). *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1992;(1538):167.

## LAMPIRAN 1

	<b>KOMISI ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN (KEPK)</b> <b>FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN UNIVERSITAS DIPONEGORO</b> <b>DAN RSUP dr KARIADI SEMARANG</b> Sekretariat : Kantor Dekanat FK Undip Lt.3 Jl. Dr. Soetomo 18, Semarang Telp/Fax. 024-8318350	
<b>ETHICAL CLEARANCE</b> <b>No. 275/EC/FK-RSDK/2016</b>		
Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro-RSUP, Dr. Kariadi Semarang, setelah membaca dan menelaah Usulan Penelitian dengan judul :		
<b>"PERBEDAAN LUARAN MATERNAL DAN PERINATAL PREEKLAMPSIA BERAT DENGAN DAN TANPA SINDROM HELLP"</b>		
<b>Peneliti Utama :</b> <i>Wahyu Choerul Tamsir</i>		
<b>Pembimbing :</b> dr. Julian Dewantiningrum, M.Si.Med, Sp.OG(K)		
<b>Penelitian :</b> Dilaksanakan di bagian Catatan Medik RSUP, Dr. Kariadi Semarang dan Bagian Obstetri Ginekologi RSUP, Dr. Kariadi Semarang		
Setuju untuk dilaksanakan, dengan memperhatikan prinsip-prinsip yang dinyatakan dalam Deklarasi Helsinki 1975, yang diamended di Seoul 2008 dan Pedoman Nasional Etik Penelitian Kesehatan (PNEPK) Departemen Kesehatan RI 2011		
Penelitian ini adalah Rekam Medik, jadi tidak memerlukan Informed Consent. Peneliti diwajibkan menyerahkan :		
<div style="display: flex; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </div> <div>             Laporan kemajuan penelitian (<i>clinical trial</i>)              Laporan kejadian efek samping jika ada              Laporan ke KEPK jika penelitian sudah selesai &amp; dilampiri Abstrak Penelitian           </div> </div>		
Semarang, 16 MAR 2016 <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;">  <div>             Ketua  <b>Prof. Dr. dr. Suprihati, M.Sc, Sp.THT-KL(K)</b>              NIP. 19500621 197703 2 001           </div> </div>		

## LAMPIRAN 2



**KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI**  
**UNIVERSITAS DIPONEGORO**  
**FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN**  
 Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, SH – Tembalang – Semarang Telepon 024-76928010, Fax. 024-76928011  
 Email : dean\_fmdu@undip.ac.id

---

Nomor : 493 /UN7.3.4/D1/PP/2016 27 JAN 2016  
 Lampiran : 1 (satu) bendel  
 Perihal : Permohonan ijin penelitian dan peminjaman data rekam medik

Yth. Direktur Utama  
 RSUP Dr. Kariadi  
 Semarang


Bersama ini kami hadapkan mahasiswa Program Studi Pendidikan Dokter Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro Semarang :

Nama/ NIM : 1. Wahyu Choerul Tamsir / 22010112120024  
 2. Adhityadeva N T / 22010112140029  
 Semester : VIII (delapan)

Mohon diijinkan melakukan penelitian dan meminjam data rekam medik di Instalasi Rekam Medik RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang, dalam rangka penyusunan Karya Tulis Ilmiah mahasiswa. Terlampir proposal mahasiswa yang bersangkutan.

Judul KTI : 1. Perbedaan Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal Preeklampsia Berat dengan dan Tanpa Sindrom HELLP  
 2. Perbedaan Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal antara Preeklampsia Berat dengan Sindrom HELLP dan Sindrom HELLP Parsial  
 Pembimbing : dr. Julian Dewantiningrum, M.Si.Med, Sp.OG(K)

Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.



an Dekan  
 Pembantu Dekan I  
 Dr. dr. Dwi Pudjonarko, M.Kes.,Sp.S(K)  
 NIP. 196607201995121001

Tembusan :

1. Dekan (sebagai laporan)
2. Ketua Tim Karya Tulis Ilmiah
3. Kepala Bagian Diklit RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang
4. Kepala Instalasi Rekam Medik RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang
5. Pembimbing

### LAMPIRAN 3

#### Output SPSS

#### Frequency Table

##### Diagnosis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	PEB	60	44.1	44.1	44.1
	HELLP	42	30.9	30.9	75.0
	Parsial	34	25.0	25.0	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

##### Mortalitas maternal

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	7	5.1	5.1	5.1
	Tidak	129	94.9	94.9	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

##### DIC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	1	.7	.7	.7
	Tidak	135	99.3	99.3	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

##### Gagal ginjal akut

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	27	19.9	19.9	19.9
	Tidak	109	80.1	80.1	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

##### Gangguan penglihatan

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	18	13.2	13.2	13.2
	Tidak	118	86.8	86.8	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Edema paru**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	24	17.6	17.6	17.6
	Tidak	112	82.4	82.4	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Eklampsia**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	23	16.9	16.9	16.9
	Tidak	113	83.1	83.1	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**SIRS**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	6	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Tidak	130	95.6	95.6	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Perawatan ICU**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	46	33.8	33.8	33.8
	Tidak	90	66.2	66.2	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Sepsis**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	5	3.7	3.7	3.7
	Tidak	131	96.3	96.3	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	



**Perdarahan post partum**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	5	3.7	3.7	3.7
	Tidak	131	96.3	96.3	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Mortalitas perinatal**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	15	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Tidak	121	89.0	89.0	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**IUGR**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	87	64.0	64.0	64.0
	Tidak	49	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**IUFD**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	16	11.8	11.8	11.8
	Tidak	120	88.2	88.2	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Asfiksia**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	44	32.4	32.4	32.4
	Tidak	92	67.6	67.6	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Gawat janin**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	20	14.7	14.7	14.7
	Tidak	116	85.3	85.3	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Kelahiran prematur**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	85	62.5	62.5	62.5
	Tidak	51	37.5	37.5	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

**Kelainan Doppler**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	34	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Tidak	102	75.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	136	100.0	100.0	

## Crosstabs

### Mortalitas maternal \* Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Mortalitas maternal	Ya	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	7.1%	1.7%	3.9%
	Tidak	Count	39	59	98
		Expected Count	40.4	57.6	98.0
		% within Diagnosis	92.9%	98.3%	96.1%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asy mp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.966 <sup>b</sup>	1	.161	.303	.188
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.782	1	.377		
Likelihood Ratio	1.964	1	.161		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.947	1	.163		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.65.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas maternal (Ya / Tidak)	4.538	.455	45.224
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.885	1.018	3.489
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.415	.075	2.284
N of Valid Cases	102		

## DIC \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
DIC	Tidak	Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	. <sup>a</sup>
N of Valid Cases	102

a. No statistics are computed because DIC is a constant.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value
Odds Ratio for DIC (Tidak / .)	. <sup>a</sup>

a. No statistics are computed because DIC is a constant.

## Gagal ginjal akut \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Gagal ginjal akut	Ya	Count	9	9	18
		Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	21.4%	15.0%	17.6%
	Tidak	Count	33	51	84
		Expected Count	34.6	49.4	84.0
		% within Diagnosis	78.6%	85.0%	82.4%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.703 <sup>b</sup>	1	.402	.438	.281
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.330	1	.566		
Likelihood Ratio	.694	1	.405		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.696	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.41.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gagal ginjal akut (Ya / Tidak)	1.545	.556	4.296
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.273	.747	2.169
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.824	.503	1.348
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Gangguan penglihatan \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Gangguan penglihatan	Ya	Count	5	5	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Diagnosis	11.9%	8.3%	9.8%
	Tidak	Count	37	55	92
		Expected Count	37.9	54.1	92.0
		% within Diagnosis	88.1%	91.7%	90.2%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.356 <sup>b</sup>	1	.551	.737	.393
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.067	1	.796		
Likelihood Ratio	.351	1	.553		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.353	1	.552		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.12.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gangguan penglihatan (Ya / Tidak)	1.486	.402	5.497
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.243	.637	2.425
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.836	.440	1.589
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Edema paru \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Edema paru	Ya	Count	11	7	18
		Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	26.2%	11.7%	17.6%
	Tidak	Count	31	53	84
		Expected Count	34.6	49.4	84.0
		% within Diagnosis	73.8%	88.3%	82.4%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.586 <sup>b</sup>	1	.058	.069	.052
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.656	1	.103		
Likelihood Ratio	3.533	1	.060		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.551	1	.060		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.41.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Edema paru (Ya / Tidak)	2.687	.944	7.648
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.656	1.043	2.630
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.616	.338	1.125
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Eklampsia \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Eklampsia	Ya	Count	10	5	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.8%	8.3%	14.7%
	Tidak	Count	32	55	87
		Expected Count	35.8	51.2	87.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.2%	91.7%	85.3%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.718 <sup>b</sup>	1	.030	.045	.030
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.564	1	.059		
Likelihood Ratio	4.659	1	.031		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.671	1	.031		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Eklampsia (Ya / Tidak)	3.438	1.079	10.950
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.813	1.154	2.847
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.527	.253	1.098
N of Valid Cases	102		



## SIRS \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
SIRS	Ya	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	4.8%	3.3%	3.9%
	Tidak	Count	40	58	98
		Expected Count	40.4	57.6	98.0
		% within Diagnosis	95.2%	96.7%	96.1%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.134 <sup>b</sup>	1	.715	1.000	.547
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.132	1	.717		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.133	1	.716		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.65.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for SIRS (Ya / Tidak)	1.450	.196	10.724
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.225	.447	3.359
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.845	.313	2.282
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Perawatan ICU \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Perawatan ICU	Ya	Count	22	5	27
		Expected Count	11.1	15.9	27.0
		% within Diagnosis	52.4%	8.3%	26.5%
	Tidak	Count	20	55	75
		Expected Count	30.9	44.1	75.0
		% within Diagnosis	47.6%	91.7%	73.5%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.628 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	22.416	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.347	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	24.386	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.12.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perawatan ICU (Ya / Tidak)	12.100	4.037	36.265
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	3.056	2.015	4.633
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.253	.113	.564
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Sepsis \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Sepsis	Ya	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Diagnosis	2.4%	3.3%	2.9%
	Tidak	Count	41	58	99
		Expected Count	40.8	58.2	99.0
		% within Diagnosis	97.6%	96.7%	97.1%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.078 <sup>b</sup>	1	.779	1.000	.632
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.080	1	.777		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.078	1	.780		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.24.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Sepsis (Ya / Tidak)	.707	.062	8.063
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.805	.160	4.056
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	1.138	.503	2.576
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Perdarahan post partum \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Perdarahan post partum	Ya	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Diagnosis	4.8%	1.7%	2.9%
	Tidak	Count	40	59	99
		Expected Count	40.8	58.2	99.0
		% within Diagnosis	95.2%	98.3%	97.1%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.829 <sup>b</sup>	1	.363	.567	.368
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.099	1	.753		
Likelihood Ratio	.816	1	.366		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.821	1	.365		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.24.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perdarahan post partum (Ya / Tidak)	2.950	.259	33.636
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.650	.716	3.804
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.559	.112	2.794
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Mortalitas perinatal \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Mortalitas perinatal	Ya	Count	9	1	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Diagnosis	21.4%	1.7%	9.8%
	Tidak	Count	33	59	92
		Expected Count	37.9	54.1	92.0
		% within Diagnosis	78.6%	98.3%	90.2%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.911 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001	.001	.001
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.791	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	11.617	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.804	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.12.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas perinatal (Ya / Tidak)	16.091	1.952	132.650
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	2.509	1.781	3.534
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.156	.024	1.007
N of Valid Cases	102		

## IUGR \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
IUGR	Ya	Count	38	23	61
		Expected Count	25.1	35.9	61.0
		% within Diagnosis	90.5%	38.3%	59.8%
	Tidak	Count	4	37	41
		Expected Count	16.9	24.1	41.0
		% within Diagnosis	9.5%	61.7%	40.2%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.943 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	25.816	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	31.157	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.669	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.88.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUGR (Ya / Tidak)	15.283	4.819	48.471
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	6.385	2.466	16.530
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.418	.298	.586
N of Valid Cases	102		

## IUFD \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
IUFD	Ya	Count	8	1	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within Diagnosis	19.0%	1.7%	8.8%
	Tidak	Count	34	59	93
		Expected Count	38.3	54.7	93.0
		% within Diagnosis	81.0%	98.3%	91.2%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.277 <sup>b</sup>	1	.002	.003	.003
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	7.243	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	9.808	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.186	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.71.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUFD (Ya / Tidak)	13.882	1.664	115.801
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	2.431	1.707	3.463
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.175	.027	1.119
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Asfiksia \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Asfiksia	Ya	Count	18	8	26
		Expected Count	10.7	15.3	26.0
		% within Diagnosis	42.9%	13.3%	25.5%
	Tidak	Count	24	52	76
		Expected Count	31.3	44.7	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	57.1%	86.7%	74.5%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.339 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001	.001	.001
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	9.837	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	11.317	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.227	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.71.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Asfiksia (Ya / Tidak)	4.875	1.861	12.771
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	2.192	1.443	3.332
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.450	.248	.817
N of Valid Cases	102		



## Gawat janin \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Gawat janin	Ya	Count	9	2	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within Diagnosis	21.4%	3.3%	10.8%
	Tidak	Count	33	58	91
		Expected Count	37.5	53.5	91.0
		% within Diagnosis	78.6%	96.7%	89.2%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.408 <sup>b</sup>	1	.004	.007	.005
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.632	1	.010		
Likelihood Ratio	8.582	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.326	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.53.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gawat janin (Ya / Tidak)	7.909	1.612	38.810
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	2.256	1.528	3.331
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.285	.081	1.009
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Kelahiran prematur \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Kelahiran prematur	Ya	Count	35	24	59
		Expected Count	24.3	34.7	59.0
		% within Diagnosis	83.3%	40.0%	57.8%
	Tidak	Count	7	36	43
		Expected Count	17.7	25.3	43.0
		% within Diagnosis	16.7%	60.0%	42.2%
Total	Count	42	60	102	
	Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.025 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	17.289	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	20.273	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.838	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.71.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelahiran prematur (Ya / Tidak)	7.500	2.866	19.626
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	3.644	1.792	7.412
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.486	.348	.679
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Kelainan Doppler \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			HELLP	PEB	
Kelainan Doppler	Ya	Count	17	6	23
		Expected Count	9.5	13.5	23.0
		% within Diagnosis	40.5%	10.0%	22.5%
	Tidak	Count	25	54	79
		Expected Count	32.5	46.5	79.0
		% within Diagnosis	59.5%	90.0%	77.5%
Total		Count	42	60	102
		Expected Count	42.0	60.0	102.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.139 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.001	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	11.452	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.188	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.010	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	102				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.47.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelainan Doppler (Ya / Tidak)	6.120	2.153	17.393
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	2.336	1.558	3.502
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.382	.189	.772
N of Valid Cases	102		

## Crosstabs

### Mortalitas maternal \* Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Mortalitas maternal	Ya	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.4	2.6	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	8.8%	1.7%	4.3%
	Tidak	Count	31	59	90
		Expected Count	32.6	57.4	90.0
		% within Diagnosis	91.2%	98.3%	95.7%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.728 <sup>b</sup>	1	.099	.133	.133
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.254	1	.263		
Likelihood Ratio	2.618	1	.106		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.699	1	.100		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.45.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas maternal (Ya / Tidak)	5.710	.570	57.209
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.177	1.156	4.103
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.381	.069	2.096
N of Valid Cases	94		

## DIC \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
DIC	Ya	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Diagnosis	2.9%	.0%	1.1%
	Tidak	Count	33	60	93
		Expected Count	33.6	59.4	93.0
		% within Diagnosis	97.1%	100.0%	98.9%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.784 <sup>b</sup>	1	.182	.362	.362
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.084	1	.772		
Likelihood Ratio	2.053	1	.152		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.765	1	.184		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .36.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.818	2.143	3.707
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Gagal ginjal akut \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Gagal ginjal akut	Ya	Count	9	9	18
		Expected Count	6.5	11.5	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	26.5%	15.0%	19.1%
	Tidak	Count	25	51	76
		Expected Count	27.5	48.5	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	73.5%	85.0%	80.9%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.844 <sup>b</sup>	1	.174	.186	.139
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.178	1	.278		
Likelihood Ratio	1.791	1	.181		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.825	1	.177		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.51.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gagal ginjal akut (Ya / Tidak)	2.040	.721	5.774
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.520	.866	2.668
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.745	.457	1.214
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Gangguan penglihatan \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Gangguan penglihatan	Ya	Count	8	5	13
		Expected Count	4.7	8.3	13.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	8.3%	13.8%
	Tidak	Count	26	55	81
		Expected Count	29.3	51.7	81.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	91.7%	86.2%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.205 <sup>b</sup>	1	.040	.061	.043
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.027	1	.082		
Likelihood Ratio	4.029	1	.045		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.161	1	.041		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.70.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gangguan penglihatan (Ya / Tidak)	3.385	1.008	11.359
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.917	1.124	3.270
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.566	.280	1.145
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Edema paru \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Edema paru	Ya	Count	6	7	13
		Expected Count	4.7	8.3	13.0
		% within Diagnosis	17.6%	11.7%	13.8%
	Tidak	Count	28	53	81
		Expected Count	29.3	51.7	81.0
		% within Diagnosis	82.4%	88.3%	86.2%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.651 <sup>b</sup>	1	.420	.536	.305
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.246	1	.620		
Likelihood Ratio	.634	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.644	1	.422		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.70.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Edema paru (Ya / Tidak)	1.622	.497	5.294
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.335	.691	2.581
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.823	.486	1.395
N of Valid Cases	94		



## Eklampsia \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Eklampsia	Ya	Count	8	5	13
		Expected Count	4.7	8.3	13.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	8.3%	13.8%
	Tidak	Count	26	55	81
		Expected Count	29.3	51.7	81.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	91.7%	86.2%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.205 <sup>b</sup>	1	.040	.061	.043
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.027	1	.082		
Likelihood Ratio	4.029	1	.045		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.161	1	.041		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.70.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Eklampsia (Ya / Tidak)	3.385	1.008	11.359
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.917	1.124	3.270
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.566	.280	1.145
N of Valid Cases	94		

## SIRS \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
SIRS	Ya	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.4	2.6	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	3.3%	4.3%
	Tidak	Count	32	58	90
		Expected Count	32.6	57.4	90.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	96.7%	95.7%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.346 <sup>b</sup>	1	.556	.618	.459
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.003	1	.955		
Likelihood Ratio	.333	1	.564		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.342	1	.558		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.45.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for SIRS (Ya / Tidak)	1.813	.244	13.486
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.406	.508	3.895
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.776	.288	2.092
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Perawatan ICU \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Perawatan ICU	Ya	Count	19	5	24
		Expected Count	8.7	15.3	24.0
		% within Diagnosis	55.9%	8.3%	25.5%
	Tidak	Count	15	55	70
		Expected Count	25.3	44.7	70.0
		% within Diagnosis	44.1%	91.7%	74.5%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.807 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	23.366	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.721	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.532	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.68.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perawatan ICU (Ya / Tidak)	13.933	4.462	43.505
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	3.694	2.256	6.050
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.265	.120	.584
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Sepsis \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Sepsis	Ya	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.4	2.6	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	3.3%	4.3%
	Tidak	Count	32	58	90
		Expected Count	32.6	57.4	90.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	96.7%	95.7%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.346 <sup>b</sup>	1	.556	.618	.459
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.003	1	.955		
Likelihood Ratio	.333	1	.564		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.342	1	.558		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.45.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Sepsis (Ya / Tidak)	1.813	.244	13.486
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.406	.508	3.895
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.776	.288	2.092
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Perdarahan post partum \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Perdarahan post partum	Ya	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.1	1.9	3.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	1.7%	3.2%
	Tidak	Count	32	59	91
		Expected Count	32.9	58.1	91.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	98.3%	96.8%
Total		Count	34	60	94
		Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.248 <sup>b</sup>	1	.264	.296	.296
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.257	1	.612		
Likelihood Ratio	1.187	1	.276		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.235	1	.266		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.09.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perdarahan post partum (Ya / Tidak)	3.688	.322	42.253
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.896	.812	4.424
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.514	.103	2.565
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Mortalitas perinatal \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Mortalitas perinatal	Ya	Count	5	1	6
		Expected Count	2.2	3.8	6.0
		% within Diagnosis	14.7%	1.7%	6.4%
	Tidak	Count	29	59	88
		Expected Count	31.8	56.2	88.0
		% within Diagnosis	85.3%	98.3%	93.6%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.175 <sup>b</sup>	1	.013	.022	.022
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.186	1	.041		
Likelihood Ratio	6.060	1	.014		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.109	1	.013		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.17.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas perinatal (Ya / Tidak)	10.172	1.136	91.126
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.529	1.587	4.029
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.249	.041	1.497
N of Valid Cases	94		

## IUGR \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
IUGR	Ya	Count	26	23	49
		Expected Count	17.7	31.3	49.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	38.3%	52.1%
	Tidak	Count	8	37	45
		Expected Count	16.3	28.7	45.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	61.7%	47.9%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.649 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.001	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	11.167	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.160	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.514	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.28.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUGR (Ya / Tidak)	5.228	2.026	13.492
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.985	1.510	5.899
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.571	.412	.792
N of Valid Cases	94		

## IUFD \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
IUFD	Ya	Count	7	1	8
		Expected Count	2.9	5.1	8.0
		% within Diagnosis	20.6%	1.7%	8.5%
	Tidak	Count	27	59	86
		Expected Count	31.1	54.9	86.0
		% within Diagnosis	79.4%	98.3%	91.5%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.979 <sup>b</sup>	1	.002		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	7.697	1	.006		
Likelihood Ratio	9.974	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.873	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.89.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUFD (Ya / Tidak)	15.296	1.792	130.558
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.787	1.854	4.190
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.182	.029	1.146
N of Valid Cases	94		



## Asfiksia \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Asfiksia	Ya	Count	18	8	26
		Expected Count	9.4	16.6	26.0
		% within Diagnosis	52.9%	13.3%	27.7%
	Tidak	Count	16	52	68
		Expected Count	24.6	43.4	68.0
		% within Diagnosis	47.1%	86.7%	72.3%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.015 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	15.093	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	16.728	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.834	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.40.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Asfiksia (Ya / Tidak)	7.313	2.680	19.953
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.942	1.786	4.847
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.402	.223	.727
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Gawat janin \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Gawat janin	Ya	Count	9	2	11
		Expected Count	4.0	7.0	11.0
		% within Diagnosis	26.5%	3.3%	11.7%
	Tidak	Count	25	58	83
		Expected Count	30.0	53.0	83.0
		% within Diagnosis	73.5%	96.7%	88.3%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.244 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001	.001	.001
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	9.116	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	11.022	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.124	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.98.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gawat janin (Ya / Tidak)	10.440	2.103	51.830
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.716	1.767	4.176
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.260	.074	.919
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Kelahiran prematur \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Kelahiran prematur	Ya	Count	26	24	50
		Expected Count	18.1	31.9	50.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	40.0%	53.2%
	Tidak	Count	8	36	44
		Expected Count	15.9	28.1	44.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	60.0%	46.8%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.594 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001	.001	.001
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	10.175	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	12.067	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.470	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.91.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelahiran prematur (Ya / Tidak)	4.875	1.893	12.554
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.860	1.447	5.651
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.587	.426	.808
N of Valid Cases	94		

## Kelainan Doppler \* Diagnosis

**Crosstab**

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	PEB	
Kelainan Doppler	Ya	Count	11	6	17
		Expected Count	6.1	10.9	17.0
		% within Diagnosis	32.4%	10.0%	18.1%
	Tidak	Count	23	54	77
		Expected Count	27.9	49.1	77.0
		% within Diagnosis	67.6%	90.0%	81.9%
Total	Count	34	60	94	
	Expected Count	34.0	60.0	94.0	
	% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.320 <sup>b</sup>	1	.007	.011	.008
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	5.888	1	.015		
Likelihood Ratio	7.048	1	.008		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.242	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases	94				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.15.

**Risk Estimate**

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelainan Doppler (Ya / Tidak)	4.304	1.421	13.035
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.166	1.327	3.537
For cohort Diagnosis = PEB	.503	.260	.974
N of Valid Cases	94		

Tabel Frekuensi Data

Variabel	F	%
Diagnosis		
PEB	60	44,1
HELLP	42	30,9
Parsial	34	25
Mortalitas maternal	7	5,1
DIC	1	0,7
Gagal ginjal akut	27	19,9
Gangguan penglihatan	18	13,2
Edema paru	24	17,6
Eklampsia	23	16,9
SIRS	6	4,4
Perawatan ICU	46	33,8
Sepsis	5	3,7
Perdarahan post partum	5	3,7
Mortalitas perinatal	15	11
IUGR	87	64
IUFD	16	11,8
Asfiksia	44	32,4
Gawat janin	20	14,7
Kelahiran prematur	85	62,5
Kelainan Doppler	34	25

Tabel hasil uji chi square antar diagnosis HELLP dan PEB

Variabel	Diagnosis				Bivariat	
	HELLP		PEB		p	OR (IK95%)
	N	%	n	%		
Mortalitas maternal	3	7,1	1	1,7	0,303 <sup>‡</sup>	4,54 (0,46-45,22)
DIC	0	0	0	0	–	–
Gagal ginjal akut	9	21,4	9	15	0,402 <sup>§</sup>	1,55 (0,56-4,3)
Gangguan penglihatan	5	11,9	5	8,3	0,737 <sup>‡</sup>	1,49 (0,40-5,50)
Edema paru	11	26,2	7	11,7	0,058 <sup>§</sup>	2,69 (0,94-7,65)
Eklampsia	10	23,8	5	8,3	0,030 <sup>§</sup>	3,44 (1,08-10,95)
SIRS	2	4,8	2	3,3	1,000 <sup>‡</sup>	1,45 (0,20-10,72)
Perawatan ICU	22	52,4	5	8,3	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	12,1 (4,04-36,27)
Sepsis	1	2,4	2	3,3	1,000 <sup>‡</sup>	0,71 (0,06-8,06)
Perdarahan post partum	2	4,8	1	1,7	0,567 <sup>‡</sup>	2,95 (0,26-33,64)
Mortalitas perinatal	9	21,	1	1,7	0,001 <sup>‡</sup>	16,09 (1,95-132,7)

		4				
IUGR	38	90, 5	23	38, 3	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	15,28 (4,82-48,47)
IUFD	8	19	1	1,7	0,003 <sup>‡</sup>	13,88 (1,66-115,8)
Asfiksia	18	42, 9	8	13, 3	0,001 <sup>§</sup>	4,88 (1,86-12,77)
Gawat janin	9	21, 4	2	3,3	0,007 <sup>‡</sup>	7,91 (1,61-38,81)
Kelahiran prematur	35	83, 3	24	40	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	7,5 (2,87-19,63)
Kelainan Doppler	17	40, 5	6	10	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	6,12 (2,15-17,39)

Keterangan : <sup>§</sup> Pearson Chi Square; <sup>‡</sup> Fisher's Exact Test

Tabel hasil uji chi square antar diagnosis Parsial dan PEB

Variabel	Diagnosis				Bivariat	
	Parsial		PEB		p	OR (IK95%)
	N	%	n	%		
Mortalitas maternal	3	8,8	1	1,7	0,133 <sup>‡</sup>	5,71 (0,57-57,21)
DIC	1	2,9	0	0	0,362 <sup>‡</sup>	–
Gagal ginjal akut	9	26, 5	9	15	0,174 <sup>§</sup>	2,04 (0,72-5,77)
Gangguan penglihatan	8	23, 5	5	8,3	0,061 <sup>‡</sup>	3,39 (1,01-11,36)
Edema paru	6	17, 6	7	11, 7	0,536 <sup>‡</sup>	1,62 (0,50-5,29)
Eklampsia	8	23, 5	5	8,3	0,061 <sup>‡</sup>	3,39 (1,01-11,36)
SIRS	2	5,9	2	3,3	0,618 <sup>‡</sup>	1,81 (0,24-13,49)
Perawatan ICU	19	55, 9	5	8,3	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	13,93 (4,46-43,51)
Sepsis	2	5,9	2	3,3	0,618 <sup>‡</sup>	1,81 (0,24-13,49)
Perdarahan post partum	2	5,9	1	1,7	0,296 <sup>‡</sup>	3,69 (0,32-42,25)
Mortalitas perinatal	5	14, 7	1	1,7	0,022 <sup>‡</sup>	10,17 (1,14-91,13)
IUGR	26	76, 5	23	38, 3	0,001 <sup>‡</sup>	5,23 (2,03-13,49)
IUFD	7	20, 6	1	1,7	0,003 <sup>‡</sup>	15,3 (1,79-130,56)
Asfiksia	18	52, 9	8	13, 3	<0,001 <sup>§</sup>	7,31 (2,68-19,95)
Gawat janin	9	26, 5	2	3,3	0,001 <sup>‡</sup>	10,44 (2,1-51,83)
Kelahiran prematur	26	76, 5	24	40	0,001 <sup>§</sup>	4,88 (1,89-12,55)
Kelainan Doppler	11	32, 4	6	10	0,007 <sup>§</sup>	4,3 (1,42-13,04)

Keterangan : <sup>§</sup> Pearson Chi Square; <sup>‡</sup> Fisher's Exact Test

## **LAMPIRAN 4**

### **Biodata diri**

Nama : Wahyu Choerul Tamsir

NIM : 22010112120024

Tempat/tanggal lahir : Batang, 4 Juni 1994

Jenis kelamin : Laki-laki

Nomor HP : 085741830070

e-mail : wahyutamsir@gmail.com

### **Riwayat Pendidikan Formal**

- |        |                      |                    |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. SD  | : SDN PESAREN 02     | Lulus tahun : 2006 |
| 2. SMP | : SMP N 1 WARUNGASEM | Lulus tahun : 2009 |
| 3. SMA | : SMA N 1 BATANG     | Lulus tahun : 2012 |

### **Keanggotaan Organisasi**

1. Anggota AMT Asy-Syifa Medical Team Tahun 2014/2015
2. Kabid Kaderisasi AMT Asy-Syifa Medical Team Tahun 2015/2016